Brāhmana, Viśvāmitra, who is said, by means of a certain catū-rātra or 'four-night' ritual, to have secured the kingdom for the Jahnus in their conflict with the Vrcīvants. He is here described as a king. Again, in the Aitareya Brāhmana, Viśvāmitra is addressed as a rāja-putra, 'prince,' and Bharata-rṣabha, 'bull of the Bharatas.' It is therefore clear that the Brāhmanas, though not the Samhitās, saw in him at once a priest and a prince by origin, though there is no trace whatever of their seeing in him a prince who won Brahmanhood as in the version of the later texts.<sup>4</sup>

A Jahnāvī is mentioned twice in the Rigveda,<sup>5</sup> being either the wife of Jahnu, or, as Sāyana thinks, the race of Jahnu. The family must clearly once have been a great one, later merged in the Bharatas.

```
<sup>2</sup> xxi. 12. Cf. Hopkins, Transactions of the Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences, 15, 54, who correctly explains the passage which Sayana misunderstands.
```

```
<sup>3</sup> vii. 17, 6. 7.
```

Jāta Śākāyanya ('descendant of Śāka') is mentioned as a ritual authority and contemporary of Śańkha in the Kāthaka Samhitā (xxii. 7).

Jāta-rūpa, 'possessing native beauty,' is the name of 'gold' in the later Brāhmanas' and the Sūtras.<sup>2</sup>

```
<sup>1</sup> Aitareya Brāhmaņa, viii. 13 (jāta-
rūpa - maya, 'composed of gold');
Brhadāraņyaka Upanişad, vi. 4, 25;
Naighantuka, i. 2.
```

<sup>2</sup> Rajata-jātarūpe, 'silver and gold,'

Lāṭyāyana Śrauta Sūtra, i. 6, 24. ·Cf. viii. 1, 3; Kausika Sūtra, x. 16; xiii. 3, etc.; Sānkhāyana Śrauta Sūtra, iii. 19, 9.

Jāti, which in the Pāli¹ texts is the word denoting 'caste,' does not occur at all in the early Vedic literature; when it is found, as in the Kātyāyana Śrauta Sūtra,² it has only the sense of 'family' (for which cf. Kula, Gotra, and Viś). For the influence of the family system on the growth of caste, see Varna. To assume that it was the basis of caste, as does

<sup>4</sup> Muir, Sanskrit Texts, 1,- 33/ et seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> i. 116, 19; iii. 58, 6. *Cf.* Ludwig, Translation of the Rigveda, 3, 153.

<sup>1</sup> Fick, Die sociale Gliederung, 22, n. 4. 2 xv. 4, 14. So jātīya, xx. 2, 11, etc.